



GLOTTOPOL

Online Journal of Sociolinguistics

CALL FOR PAPERS

ALTERA LINGUA OR THE SOCIAL CONSTRUCTION OF LINGUISTIC OTHERNESS

Elatiana Razafimandimbimana, Gail Prasad

Deadline for manuscript submissions : **September 30, 2021**

Papers should be sent to :

elatiana@gmail.com, glprasad@edu.yorku.ca, gloottopol@gmail.com

Instructions for submission : see <http://gloottopol.univ-rouen.fr/appels>

Online publication : **July 2022**

Rationale & Scope

The discourses, narratives, imaginaries and tensions concerning otherness crystallize the preoccupations of many “historical communities” (Schnapper, 1998). As a reflection of this tendency, researchers in social sciences (Jodelet, 2005: 24) devote a whole range of concepts¹ to the Other. The consideration of social otherness even seems to be at the foundation of socially engaged, activist or transformative science² (Schneidewind *et al.* 2016: 6). The question of otherness thus places us at the crossroads of scientific criticism and social action. What about linguistic otherness more specifically?

This call offers the expression *altera lingua* to designate the multitude of phenomena of the “construction of otherness” (Jodelet, 1989; 2005: 23) through which language³, languages and their variations can be mobilized, instrumentalized, institutionalized, sacralised, forbidden. Using this little used construct, our motivation is also:

- To invite a momentary pause, a questioning of one’s own certainties (representations, categorizations, imaginaries) to encourage an encounter with the other;

¹ For example: exoticization, orientalism, empathy, the inclusive school, modes of discrimination, gender relations, management of living together.

² “a specific type of science that does not only observe and describe societal transformation processes, but rather initiates and catalyses them. Transformative science aims to improve our understanding of transformation processes and to simultaneously increase societal capacity to reflect on them” (Schneidewind *et al.*, 2016: 6).

³ In the inclusive sense: language system, language variety, language practice, plurilingual repertoire.

- To allow for humble⁴ intellectual postures in the sense of nuanced thoughts by recognizing and *listening to* altered experiences (Levinas, 1976; Lamarre, 2006);
- To offer contributors a relatively open semantic space insofar as *altera lingua* is less documented compared to others, such as linguistic otherness and difference (*cf.* Busch, 2017; Choi & Slaughter, 2021; Farmer & Prasad, 2014; Goï, 2013; Goï *et al.* 2014; Prasad, 2017; Purkarthofer, 2018).

Each author is invited to take up the construct according to his or her own interpretation to narrate, to explore and to analyse the question of linguistic otherness in different ways.

The starting point of this call could be formulated as follows: “Otherness is *constructed* more than it is discovered” (Baudrillard & Guillaume, 1994: 52). Whether it consists of taking into account the significance of words when they are received as categorizations⁵ as “the other”, of questioning the place of languages in the construction of otherness in society, or of studying the social representations of languages that stem from ideologies of otherness, otherness is not a given. Rather, it is a product of a social construction (Berger & Luckmann, 1966).

The aim of this issue is to take a social approach to languages (e.g. Labov, 1976; Boutet, 1980; Gee, 1996; Halliday, 1997; Calvet, 2013; Street 1984, 2011, 2013) and position ourselves as researchers and languaging beings (Flores, 2013). Do we situate ourselves in a post-multilingual era (Wei, 2016) and how does this shape us and our plurilingual experiences? What narratives (scientific, historical, political, institutional, etc.) about the “Other” unsettle us? How might the⁶ recent growth of movements such as “de-binarianization”, “decolonialization”, “anti-racist”, “inclusive writing”, “woke” culture, or “intersectionality” inspire us? Discrimination on the basis of language traits is well-known (“linguicism”, Skutnabb-Kangas, 1986), ordinary (“glottophobia”, Blanchet, 2016) and insidious (“linguistic micro-aggressions”, Razafimandimbimana & Wacalie, 2019, 2020). How can and do engaged researchers respond?

A few angles of questioning are proposed below without limiting the field of possibilities. They take up the transversal theme of the social construction of linguistic otherness and share a common aim in the pluralization of our capacity to imagine, to say, to represent, to problematize and to understand the processes of otherness *through* language. We invite the contributors to freely draw inspiration from these avenues, even if to then distance themselves from them. The following axes invite us to imagine new possibilities of resistance to identity categorization.

What does *altera lingua* involve?

How can the question of linguistic otherness be renewed in such a way that it makes minority experiences (more) visible? This first axis is interested in the meanings that can be given, in a heuristic, collaborative and subjective way, to a concept that does not yet have a dedicated literature: *altera lingua*. Who are we talking about when a linguistic boundary is drawn between ourselves and others? Who are we not talking about (yet)? Why is this? How does this border become a structural barrier (Cummins, 2003)? Can it be overcome and what are the consequences? What do we do with our own borders? Given the non-neutrality of researchers’ terminological choices, the demonstrated discomfort with the term “allophone” being an

⁴ Less in the sense of a religious virtue than in the sense of nuance and awareness of its necessity to “meet” the other, to rethink oneself and to nourish one’s (self-)critical thinking.

⁵ Not exclusively negative.

⁶ Or at least, the feeling of it when referring to the institutional spaces (hexagonal France, New Caledonia, Canada, United States) in which we are registered as members and observers.

example among others (Prasad, 2012; Razafimandimbimana and Traisnel, 2017; Marchadour, 2019), how do we justify the ways we speak of the “linguistic Other”? What forms of intersectionality arise with other social categorizations? What are the main manifestations of *altera lingua* and what do they tell us about the societies in question?

Figures of *altera lingua*

The other is to be (re)imagined insofar as :

“the human capacity to hurt others has always been much greater than its capacity to imagine others. Or perhaps we should say, ‘The human capacity to hurt others is very large precisely because our capacity to imagine others is very small’” (Scarry, 2003: 102).

In contrast to empirical experience, how do we imagine linguistic otherness? Around us, the institutional imaginaries (Castoriadis, 1975) of linguistic otherness create representations that are as iconic and glotto-stereotyped (Bochmann, 2001) as they are laden with praise for differentiation. The following examples have become classics in the figures of otherness: the myth of the superhuman (*hyper*⁷) *polyglots* (Erard, 2012: 82; 220); the cliché of the accent that would be peculiar to the allochtonous alone, more commonly called the foreigner (Boyer, 2015); the mapping between allophones and academic difficulties (Klinkenberg, 2015: 25; Armagnague-Roucher & Rigoni, 2016: 346; Flores & Rosa, 2015; Rosa & Flores, 2017; Rosa, 2019). All these figures stem from a certain conception of language, used and accepted as a social filter. The multiple phenomena associated with *altera lingua* also concern the desires for feminization, for “linguistic parity” (Baider *et al.*, 2007) and against a patriarchal or sexist system (Khaznadjar, 2015). Who embodies these figures or how do we imagine them? What fears does inclusive writing arouse (Abbou *et al.*, 2018)? Conversely, what reactions does masculinization (*cf.* Viennot, 2014) generate? How are the imagined alterities claimed and organized?

The construction of the “realities” of *altera lingua*

The conceptions of the Other are plural. Each scientific discipline, the institutions, the media, the arts, fiction and, more broadly, the world of entertainment also participate, if not in instituting them as “truths”, at least in relaying the “reality” of linguistic otherness. What are the characteristics of the linguistic otherness to which these spaces, discourses, representations, systems, and ideologies bear witness? What about the modalities of their construction in historical narratives and over time? Given that the “Other” can result from a hetero-assignment (“you are not like me⁸”) or a auto-assignment (“I am not like you”), in what ways can linguistic otherness be over analysed? For whom, does it appear to be applied excessively? To hold space for a plurality of voices and knowledge, what do the people who embody *altera lingua* say about it? To this end, contributions may take the form of interviews, meetings, and portraits that allow us to enter into the intimacy of experiences that claim linguistic otherness. Given that “words are not only instruments of academic and political discussion, they are the objects of it” (Schnapper, 1993: 157), how do those who embody *altera lingua* designate themselves? What do they do with the modes of designation, or even subjugation, of others?

⁷ The popularization of the term "hyperpolyglot" is attributed to Richard Hudson in 2003. URL: [<https://dickhudson.com/hyperpolyglots/>], accessed 22.02.21. Hudson defines a polyglot as one who has mastered at least six languages.

⁸ The singular is used for convenience.

The stakes of *altera lingua* in the relationship to knowledge

The Other and knowledge. Rhetorically (Kristeva, 1989), imagined figures and images, diffused “reality”, *altera lingua* also raises the question of erasure (Meschonnic, 1999) and the conditions of recognition (Honneth, 2000). Applied to schools, educational contexts, training and professional fields, the question connects social relations to others and relations to knowledge. The social stakes are important considering that access to knowledge is conditioned by : a sense of belonging, identification with conception of knowledge and by the possibilities of projection in pedagogical spaces (Bandura, 1977; Cummins, 2003). Contributions may also be interested in the observation of dynamics of Otherness in institutional settings, in the analysis of educational policies, in the sharing of pedagogical experiences or in critical studies of evaluative postures. Contributions may also look at how *altera lingua*, a social construct, becomes a source of institutional knowledge about other languages, the languages of the other, the other language: “that which is spoken of a politically and ideologically uninhabitable place: the place of the interstice, of the edge, of the sling, of the limp: a *cavalier* place since it crosses, straddles, panoramas and offends.” (Barthes, 1970).

In sum, this call aims to create a space for listening and for encounters with forms of linguistic otherness. It is also part of what Mignolo and Tlostanova (2006) call the centering of “the geopolitics and body politics of knowledge”. Thus, what knowledge is constructed from lived experiences as “minoritized bodies” due to ideological, racialized, linguistic, gendered, religious conceptions? From what borders and narratives are these knowledges elevated to the status of "theories" and how do they illuminate dominant ideologies (Siebers, 2013)? It would also be interesting to see how knowledge and theories allow for the critique or even transformation of these dominant ideologies.

Whatever the angle adopted, contributions are welcome to adopt decompartmentalized, collaborative and interdisciplinary approaches to make the phenomena of *altera lingua* more visible in light of encounters that may (dis)enchant researchers.

Références bibliographiques citées

- Abbou, Julie ; Arnold, Aron ; Candea, Maria & Marignier, Noémie. 2018. « Qui a peur de l'écriture inclusive ? Entre délire eschatologique et peur d'émasculation - Entretien » [“Who is afraid of inclusive writing? Between eschatological delusion and fear of emasculation - Interview”]. *Semen*, 44. URL : [<http://journals.openedition.org/semen/10800>], consulté le 22.02.21.
- Armagnague-Roucher, Maïtena & Rigoni, Isabelle 2016. « Conduire une recherche sur la scolarisation d'élèves primo-migrants » [“Conducting research on the schooling of first-time migrant students”]. *I.N.S.H.E.A. La nouvelle revue de l'adaptation et de la scolarisation*, 3 ; 75 : 337-349.
- Baider, Fabienne ; Khaznadjar, Edwidge & Moreau, Thérèse. 2007. « Les enjeux de la parité linguistique » [“The challenges of language parity”]. *NQF*, 26; 3: 4-12.
- Bandura, Albert. 1977. *Social Learning Theory* [L'apprentissage social]. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Barthes, Roland. 1970. « L'étrangère » [“The Female Stranger”]. *Quinzaine littéraire*, 94, May 1-15.

- Berger, Peter & Luckmann, Thomas. 1966. *The social construction of reality [La construction Sociale de la réalité]*. New York : Penguin Books.
- Birançon, Muriel. 2012. *L'Altérité enseignante [Otherness Educates]*. Paris: Éditions Publibook Université.
- Blanchet, Philippe. 2016. *Discriminations : combattre la glottophobie [Discriminations: fighting glottophobia]*. Paris: Textuel, coll. Petite Encyclopédie critique.
- Bochmann, Klaus. 2001. « Notre langue, votre patois, leur baragouin : stéréotypes et représentations des langues » [“Our language, your patois, their gibberish: stereotypes and representations of languages”]. *Hermès*, 30: 93-102.
- Boutet, Josiane. 1980. « Quelques courants dans l'approche sociale du langage » [“On some currents in the social approach to language”]. *Language and society*, 12, 33-70.
- Boyer, Henri. 2015. « Vous venez d'où pour avoir cet accent ? » [“Where are you from to get that accent? ”]. *Lengas*, 77. DOI: 10.4000/lengas.868.
- Busch, Brigitta. 2015. “Expanding the Notion of the Linguistic Repertoire: On the Concept of *Spracherleben*-The Lived Experience of Language” [« Étendre la notion de “repertoire langagier4”: le concept de *Spracherleben* – L’expérience vécue du langage »]. *Applied Linguistics*. DOI: 10.1093/applin/amv030
- Calvet, Louis-Jean. 2013. « La lutte pour une conception sociale de la langue » [“The struggle for a social conception of language”]. Dans Calvet, Louis-Jean. *Sociolinguistique*. Paris: PUF, 5-16.
- Castoriadis, Cornelius. 1975. *L'institution imaginaire de la société [Imaginary Institution of Society]*. Paris : Seuil.
- Choi, Julie & Slaughter, Yvette, 2021. “Challenging discourses of deficit: Understanding the vibrancy and complexity of multilingualism through language trajectory grids” [« Défier les discours déficitaires : Comprendre la dynamique et la complexité du multilinguisme au filtre des grilles de trajectoires linguistiques »]. *Language Teaching Research*, 25, 81-104. DOI: 10.1177/1362168820938825
- Cummins, Jim. 2003. “Challenging the construction of difference as deficit: Where are identity, intellect, imagination, and power in the new regime of truth”. Trifonas, P. (ed). *Pedagogies of difference: Rethinking education for social change*. London, UK: Psychology Press: 41-60.
- Erard, Michael. 2012. *Babel No More. The Search for the World's Most Extraordinary Language Learners. [La fin de Babel. À la recherche des polyglottes les plus extraordinaires au monde]*. New York: Free Press.
- Farmer, Diane & Prasad, Gail. 2014. « Mise en récits de la mobilité chez les élèves plurilingues: expériences canadiennes » [“Putting mobility into narratives among multilingual students: Canadian experiences”]. *Glottopol*, 24: 80-98. URL: [http://glottopol.univ-rouen.fr/telecharger/numero_24/gpl24_04farmer_prasad.pdf], consulté le 22.02.21.
- Flores, Nelson. 2013. “Silencing the Subaltern: Nation-State/Colonial Governmentality and Bilingual Education in the United States” [« La mise en silence des subalternes: L’État-Nation/la Gouvernementalité coloniale et l’Éducation bilingue aux États-Unis »]. *Critical Inquiry in Language Studies*, 10 (4): 263–287.
- Flores, Nelson & Rosa, Jonathan. 2019. “Bringing Race Into Second Language Acquisition” [« La question de la “race” dans l’acquisition de la langue seconde »]. *The Modern language journal*, 103, 145-151. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/modl.12523>
- Flores, Nelson & Rosa, Jonathan. 2015. “Undoing Appropriateness: Raciolinguistic Ideologies and Language Diversity in Education” [« Déconstruire la convenance : les idéologies raciolinguistiques et la diversité linguistique en éducation »]. *Harvard educational review*, 85, 149-171.

- Gee, James Paul. 1996. *Social linguistics and literacies: ideology in discourses [Linguistiques et littératies sociales : l'idéologie en discours]*. London: Taylor & Francis.
- Goï, Cécile. 2013. « L'école au risque de l'altérité linguistique et culturelle : pour une "nouvelle éducation" » [“Education at the Risk of Linguistic and Cultural Otherness : For a New Curriculum”]. Dans Sallaberry, J. C. (dir.), *Conditions et perspectives pour l'éducation nouvelle. Revue L'année de la recherche en éducation*, 103-122.
- Goï, Cécile ; Huver, Emmanuelle & Razafimandimbimana, Elatiana. 2014. « Les inaccessibles de l'altérité et de la pluralité linguistiques et culturelles. Enjeux et perspectives pour l'éducation » [“Inaccessible Otherness and Inaccessible Linguistic/Cultural Plurality: Issues and perspectives for Education”]. *Glottopol*, 23 : 2-7. URL : [http://glottopol.univ-rouen.fr/telecharger/numero_23/gpl23_complet.pdf], consulté le 22.02.21.
- Guillaume, Marc & Baudrillard, Jean. 1994 [1992]. *Figures de l'altérité. [Figures of Otherness]*. Paris : Descartes et Cie.
- Halliday, Michael. 1997. “Language in a Social Perspective” [« La langue dans une perspective sociale »]. Dans Coupland, Nikolas & Jaworski, Adame (eds). *Sociolinguistics. Modern Linguistics Series*. London: Palgrave: 31-38.
- Honneth, Axel. 2000 [1992]. *La lutte pour la reconnaissance [The struggle for recognition]*. Paris : Éditions du Cerf.
- Jodelet, Denise. (ed.). 1989. *Les représentations sociales [Social Representations]*. Paris : PUF.
- Jodelet, Denise. 2005. « Formes et figures de l'altérité » [“Shapes and Figures of Otherness”]. Dans Sanchez-Mazas, M., Licata, L. *L'Autre : Regards psychosociaux*. Grenoble : PUG, 23-47.
- Khaznadjar, Edwige. 2015. *Le sexisme ordinaire du langage. Qu'est l'homme en général ? [The ordinary sexism of language. What is man in general?]* Paris : L'Harmattan, coll. « Questions contemporaines ».
- Klinkenberg, Jean-Marie. 2015. *La langue dans la cité. Vivre et penser l'équité culturelle [Language in the city. Living and thinking cultural equity]*. Brussels: Les impressions nouvelles.
- Kristeva, Julia. 1989. *Étrangers à nous-mêmes [Strangers to ourselves]*. Paris: Fayard.
- Labov, William. 1976. *Sociolinguistics [Sociolinguistique]*. Paris : Les Éditions de Minuit.
- Lamarre, Jean-Marc. 2006. « Seule l'altérité enseigne » [“Only Otherness Teaches”]. *Le Télémaque*, 29: 69-78.
- Levinas, Emmanuel. 1976. *Totalité et Infini [Totality and Infinity]*. La Haye : Matrinus Nijhoff.
- Marchadour, Matthieu. 2019. « Plurilinguismes et élèves “allophones” en France : ce que la désignation de l'autre révèle de la conception de l'ordre » [“Plurilingualisms and “allophone” students in France: what the designation of the other reveals about the conception of order”]. *Cahiers Internationaux de Sociolinguistique*, 15: 63-87.
- Meschonnic, Henri. 1999. *Poétique du traduire. [Poetics of translation]*. Paris: Verdier.
- Mignolo, Walter. D. & Tlostanova, Madina, V. 2006. “Theorizing from the Borders: Shifting to Geo- and Body-Politics of Knowledge” [« Théoriser à partir des frontiers: Vers une géopolitique et une politique corporelle du savoir »]. *European journal of social theory*, 9(2), 205-221. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1368431006063333>
- Prasad, Gail. 2012. “Multiple minorities or plurilingual learners? Allophone immigrant children’s rights and inclusion in French-language schools in Ontario” [« Des minorités multiples ou des apprenant·e·s plurilingues? Les droits et l’inclusion d’enfants migrant·e·s allophones dans des écoles francophones en Ontarioé »]. *Canadian Modern Language Review*. 68(2): 190-215. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3138/cmlr.68.2.190>
- Prasad, Gail. 2017. “How does it look and feel to be plurilingual?”: Analyzing children’s representations of plurilingualism through collage” [«À quoi ressemble et que ressent

- un·e plurilingue?” : L’analyse des représentations du plurilinguisme chez des enfants à travers le collage »]. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/13670050.2017.1420033>
- Purkarthofer, Judith. 2018. “Children’s drawings as part of School Language Profiles: Heteroglossic realities in families and schools” [« Le dessin comme partie intégrante des profils langagiers des écoliers : Des réalités hétéroglossiques au sein des familles et des écoles »]. *Applied Linguistics Review*, 9: 201-223.
- Razafimandimbimanana, Elatiana & Traisnel, Christophe. 2017. « Dire les minorités linguistiques en sciences sociales : les notions de “vitalité” et d’“allophone” dans les contextes canadien et français » [“Wording Linguistic Minorities in Social Sciences: the Notions of ‘Vitality’ and ‘Allophone’ in the Canadian and French contexts »]. *Mots. Les langages du politique*, 3, 115 : 111-126.
- Razafimandimbimanana, Elatiana & Wacalie, Fabrice. 2019. « Les micro-agressions linguistiques » [“Linguistic microaggressions”]. *Hermès*, 83, 156-157.
- Razafimandimbimanana, Elatiana & Wacalie, Fabrice. 2020. « Une forme insidieuse de mépris : les micro-agressions linguistiques en Nouvelle-Calédonie » [“An insidious form of contempt: linguistic microaggressions in New Caledonia”]. *Lidil*, 61. URL: [<https://journals.openedition.org/lidil/7477>], consulté le 22.02.21.
- Rosa, Jonathan. 2019. *Looking like a language, sounding like a race: raciolinguistic ideologies and the learning of Latinidad [L’apparence d’une langue, la voix d’une ‘race’ : les idéologies raciolinguistiques et l’apprentissage de la Latinité]*. Oxford University Press.
- Rosa, Jonathan & Flores, Nelson. 2017. “Unsettling race and language: Toward a raciolinguistic perspective” [« Dissocier la “race” et la langue : Vers une perspective raciolinguistique »]. *Language in society*, 46(5), 621-647. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0047404517000562>
- Scarry, Elaine. 1998. “The difficulty of imagining other persons” [« La difficulté à imaginer autrui »]. In Eugene Weiner (ed.) *The Handbook of Interethnic Coexistence*. New York: Continuum Publishing.
- Schnapper, Dominique. 1993. « Ethnies et nation » [“Ethnicities and nation”]. *Ethnicité et nationalisms. Nouveaux regards*, 20, 157-167.
- Schnapper, Dominique. 1998. *La relation à l’Autre. Au cœur de la pensée sociologique [The relationship to the Other. Au cœur de la pensée sociologique]*. Paris: Gallimard.
- Schneidewind, Uwe; Singer-Brodowski, Mandy; Augenstein, Karoline; Stelzer, Franziska. 2016. “Pledge for a Transformative Science: A Conceptual Framework” [« Plaidoyer pour une Science Transformative: Un cadre conceptuel »]. *Wuppertal Papers*, 191: 2-28.
- Siebers, Tobin. 2013. “Disability and the theory of complex embodiment –for identity politics in a new register” [« Le handicap et la théorie de l’incarnation complexe –pour une politique de l’identité sous un nouveau registre »]. *The disability studies reader*. New York: Routledge, 278-297.
- Skutnabb-Kangas, Tove. 1986. “Multilingualism and the Education of Minority Children” [« Le multilinguisme et l’éducation d’enfants en situation minoritaire »]. Dans Phillipson, Robert & Skutnabb-Kangas, Tove. *Linguicism Rules in Education*. Roskilde: Roskilde University Centre, Institut; 42-72.
- Street, Brian V. 1984. *Literacy in Theory and Practice [La littéracie en théorie et en pratique]*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Street, Brian V. 2011. “Literacy inequalities in theory and practice: The power to name and define” [« Des inégalités littéraciques en théorie et en pratique: Le pouvoir de nommer et de définir »]. *International Journal of Educational Development*. 31 : 6, 580-586.

- Street, Brian V. 2013. "Literacy in Theory and Practice: Challenges and Debates Over 50 Years" [« La littéracie en théorie et en pratiques : Défis et débats sur 50 ans »]. *Theory into Practice*, 52: 52-62.
- Viennot, Eliane. 2014. *Non, le masculin ne l'emporte pas sur le féminin ! Petite histoire des résistances de la langue française* [No, the masculine does not take precedence over the feminine! A short history of resistance in the French language]. Donnemarie-Dontilly : Editions iXe.
- Wei, Li. 2016. "New Chinglish and the Post-Multilingualism challenge: Translanguaging ELF in China" [« Un nouveau chinglais et le défi du post-multilinguisme : l'approche du "Translanguaging" appliquée à l'Anglais comme Lingua Franca en Chine »]. *Journal of English as a Lingua Franca*, 5; 1: 1-25.